DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.18782/2320-7051.1820

ISSN: 2320 – 7051 *Int. J. Pure App. Biosci.* **6 (1):** 1259-1265 (2018)





Research Article

Effect of Genotypes on Spacing and Nipping with Different Levels of Nutrients on Growth and Yield of Castor (*Ricinus communis* L.)

Tasmiya Kowser^{*}, A. S. Halepyati, B. M. Chittapur, A. S. Channabasavanna, I. Shanker Goud and Basave Gowda

> Deapartment of Agronomy, UAS, Raichur 584104, Karnataka, India *Corresponding Author E-mail: tasmiyarazvia@gmail.com Received: 2.11.2017 | Revised: 8.12.2017 | Accepted: 15.12.2017

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted on the performance of genotypes and row spacings with nipping practices and different levels of nutrients at the Agricultural College Farm, UAS, Raichur, during kharif 2016 and 2017 in the clay soil. Pooled mean of two years indicated that DCH-519 recorded significantly higher total number of productive spikes plant⁻¹ (9.04), number of capsules spike⁻¹ (35.25), bean yield (1311 kg ha⁻¹), net returns (₹ 21,074 ha⁻¹) and BC ratio (2.24) as compared to DCH-177. Among the row spacings, 120 cm with nipping recorded significantly higher number of capsules spike⁻¹ (42.10) whereas the bean yield (1700 kg ha⁻¹), net returns (₹ 31899 ha⁻¹) and BC ratio (2.83) was significantly higher with a row spacing of 90 cm with nipping. Similarly 100 per cent RDF with sulphur recorded significantly higher total number of productive spikes plant⁻¹ (9.33), number of capsules spike¹ (36.14), bean yield (1356 kg ha⁻¹) and net returns (₹ 21831 ha⁻¹) whereas BC ratio was significantly higher with 100 per cent RDF without sulphur (2.53). Hence, on the basis of the results obtained in the pooled data of the two years, genotype DCH-519 with a row spacing of 90 cm with nipping and 100 per cent RDF was found to be economical and better option to obtain higher bean yield.

Key words: Nipping, Ricinus communis, Geometry and Bean yield, Nutrient levels

INTRODUCTION

Castor (*Ricinus communis* L.) is the most primitive non-edible crop belonging to family Euphorbiaceae grown under tropical, subtropical and temperate regions. Seeds of this crop were found during excavation in Egypt, Sudan, India and in ancient agricultural dwellings of North West Asia and Iran. As a non-edible and industrial crop, castor plays an important role in Indian economy because of better export potential. During 2014-15, the country earned a foreign exchange worth of \mathbf{R} 4364.33 crores through export of castor oil and cake². Yield of any crop is a function of yield per plant and number of plants from unit area. Therefore, the optimum plant population for a particular region with specific variety must be determined for maximum yield and efficient utilization of land.

Cite this article: Kowser, T., Halepyati, A.S., Chittapur, B.M., Channabasavanna, A.S., Goud, I.S. and Gowda, B., Effect of Genotypes on Spacing and Nipping with Different Levels of Nutrients on Growth and Yield of Castor (*Ricinus communis* L.), *Int. J. Pure App. Biosci.* **6**(1): 1259-1265 (2018). doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.18782/2320-7051.1820

ISSN: 2320 - 7051

The castor plant being perennial in nature is capable of producing branches from every auxillary bud that appears on its main axis. The lower shoots that develop from the auxillary buds many a times produce spikes not as much effective as main spike. Hence, periodical nipping *i.e.*, removal of auxillary buds assumes importance in maintaining optimum source to sink relationship. There is a vast scope to increase the productivity of castor by adopting latest and improved scientific agro-techniques like periodical nipping, use of high yielding varieties, suitable planting geometry and nutrient levels.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

A field experiment was conducted in *kharif* 2016 and 2017 on medium deep black clay soils at the Agricultural College Farm, UAS, Raichur, Karnataka. The experiment was carrid out in Split-Split plot design. Castor (genotypes DCH-177 and DCH-519) as a main plot with two row spacings of 90 and 120 cm and nipping as a sub plot. Sub-sub plot consists of 75 (56:38:18 kg ha⁻¹) and 100 per cent (75:50:25 kg ha⁻¹) recommended dose of fertilizers half of nitrogen, entire dose of phosphorus and potassium in the form of urea, diammonium phosphate (DAP) and muriate of potash (MOP) and the remaining 50 % nitrogen was top dressed at 30 and 40 DAS and gypsum was applied at 100 kg ha⁻¹ at 30 DAS. The experimental soil was alkaline in reaction (pH 8.3) and (EC 0.32 dSm⁻¹). It was also low in available nitrogen (226 kg ha⁻¹), medium in available phosphorus (29 kg ha⁻¹), Potash (320 kg ha⁻¹) and sulphur (18 ppm). The recommended packages of practices were followed. The cost includes expenditure on seeds, fertilizers, weed management and plant protection chemicals. At maturity, the crop was harvested and plot wise yields were recorded. The data recorded at different stages of crop was subjected to statistical analysis at 5 % probability.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION Performance of castor genotypes

In the current investigation, castor hybrid DCH-519 stood out to be superior interms of growth parameters *viz.* plant height (189.25

cm), number of branches plant⁻¹ (14.59) and number of leaves plant⁻¹ (23.43) over DCH 177 at harvest (Table 1). DCH-519 recorded significantly higher total number of productive spikes plant⁻¹ (9.04) number of capsules spike⁻¹ (35.25) and bean yield $(1311 \text{ kg ha}^{-1})$ over DCH-177 in pooled data (Table 2). The bean yield of castor was significantly higher in castor hybrid DCH-519 (1311 kg ha⁻¹) over DCH-177. The higher yield could be attributed to higher plant height, number of branches and leaves per plant and cumulative effect of yield attributes. Indeed, the yield of crop is a function of yield attributes like number of productive spikes plant⁻¹ and number of capsules spike⁻¹ which were higher in DCH-519 which ultimately resulted in higher bean yield. The genotype DCH-177 is a poor yielder because of its poor growth and canopy makeup. Similar results were reported by Govindan et al.⁴, Shifa¹⁵ and Sannappa et al.¹⁴. Highest net returns and BC ratio was realized with DCH-519 (\gtrless 21,074 ha⁻¹ and 2.24) over DCH-177 (₹ 19,265 and 2.13) (Table 3) the increase in net returns and BC ratio is due to better performance of the hybrid DCH-519 by producing higher number of productive spikes plant⁻¹ and number of capsules plant⁻¹ which inturn increased the yield.

Row spacing and nipping

Plant height, number of leaves and number of branches plant⁻¹ at harvest were significantly influenced due to varying inter-row spacing and nipping practices. Significantly higher plant height was concurred with row spacing of 90 cm without nipping (195.14 cm) over 90 cm with nipping (186.66 cm) and 120 cm with and without nipping (181.02 and 189.57 cm, respectively) (Table 1). This might be due to increasing intra-plant competition for sunlight necessary for photosynthesis, which enables the plant to increase their height. Similar findings were also reported by Vala et al.²³ and Rana et al.¹³. Number of branches (17.34) and leaves plant⁻¹ (27.12) were significantly higher with 120 cm \times 60 cm without nipping as compared to a row spacing of 90 cm with and without nipping and 120 cm with nipping. Inversely to plant height, increase in inter-row spacing from 90 cm to 120 cm, there was positive increase in growth parameters like

ISSN: 2320 - 7051

number of branches and leaves plant⁻¹. On an average, 120 cm inter-row spacing without nipping recorded 10.65, 30.27, 57.35 per cent more branches plant⁻¹ as compared to row spacing of 90 cm without nipping, 120 and 90 cm with nipping at harvest, respectively. Being indeterminate increased plant, space availability for individual plant reduced competition for nutrients, light and water, resulted into increased growth of castor. The growth parameters are also supportive to each other as number of leaves increases the photosynthesis, which increases the food availability and thereby increases the plant growth in different parts. Sundaresan et al.¹⁹, Narkhede et al.¹⁰, Thododa²² and Singh¹⁷ observed similar pattern of positive growth response to wider spacings. Significantly lower plant height was observed in the nipped castor plants (Table 1). Same treatment recorded significantly minimum number of leaves and branches plant⁻¹ during all the growth stages. According to Patel et al.¹¹ this might be due to early loss of apical dominance which led to shorter main stem which ultimately resulted into reduced number of nodes and thus recorded minimum number of leaves and branches in nipped plants.

Among the row spacing with nipping practices, total number of productive spikes plant⁻¹ (11.11) and number of capsules spike⁻¹ (42.10) were significantly higher with a row spacing of 120 cm without nipping as compared to a row spacing of 120 cm with nipping and 90 cm with and without nipping whereas the bean yield was significantly higher with a row spacing of 90 cm with nipping as compared to 90 cm without nipping and 120 cm with and without nipping. Yield attributes and yield differed in response to spacing and nipping. Among yield attributing characters, total number of productive spikes plant⁻¹ and number of capsules spike⁻¹ were significantly higher with wider spacing of 120 cm x 60 cm and decreased with reducing the row spacing. This might be due to greater availability of space and nutrients and less intra and inter plant competition at low plant density. Narkhede et al.¹⁰, Thodada et al.²² and Sudha Rani¹⁸ also reported similar results. The mean bean yield advantage under 90 cm x 60

cm with nipping was to the tune of 21.34, 52.46 and 88.88 per cent. The results corroborate with the early findings of Raghavaiah and Sudhakara Babu¹², Lakshmi and Reddy⁷ and Rana *et al.*¹³. Treatments with periodical nipping recorded significantly higher bean yield as against non-nipping. Nipping and maintaining only the primary spikes often produced higher yield as reported by Hanumantha Rao *et al.*⁵. Spacing of 90 cm x 60 cm with nipping accrued maximum net realization of ₹ 31899 ha⁻¹ with BC ratio of 2.83 (Table 3). This treatment increased the additional net realization to the tune of 35.33, 105.16 and 230.25 per cent, respectively over row spacing of 120 cm with nipping, 90 cm and 120 cm without nipping, respectively. The increase in net profit was attributed to larger yield differences with minute variation in cost of production under different spacing with nipping. Singh¹⁷ and Tank *et al.*²¹ also reported similar results.

Nutrient management

Plant height, number of leaves and branches plant⁻¹ at harvest were significantly influenced by NPK and S fertilization. Application of 100% RDF with sulphur recorded significantly higher plant height (189.98 cm), number of branches (14.82) and number of leaves plant⁻¹ (23.76) as compared to 100 per cent RDF without sulphur and 75 per cent RDF with and without sulphur (Table 1). Increased levels of N, P and K might have resulted in more root proliferation and vigorous seedling growth, which in turn was useful for higher uptake of moisture and nutrients from soil reservoir. These findings are in close conformity with those of Hussaini et al.⁶ and Suryavanshi et al.²⁰. Similarly total number of productive spikes plant⁻¹ (9.33), number of capsules spike⁻ 1 (36.14) and bean yield (1356 kg ha⁻¹) increased with 100 per cent RDF with sulphur as compared to 100 per cent RDF without sulphur and 75 per cent RDF with and without sulphur. Yield attributing characters of castor viz., number of spikes plant⁻¹ and number of capsules spike⁻¹ significantly declined with reducing NPK fertilization from 100% RDF to 75% RDF which resulted in lower bean yield of castor. These results are also corroborated with those of Mathukia and Modhwadia⁸ and

ISSN: 2320 - 7051

Mathukia *et al*⁹. Gross returns, net returns and rupee per rupee invested were worked out for different levels of N, P, K and S fertilizer schedules (Table 3). Among the different nutrient levels with sulphur application, 100% RDF with sulphur recorded significantly higher net returns (₹ 21,831 ha⁻¹) which was closely followed by 100% RDF without sulphur application (₹ 21,665 ha⁻¹). With respect to BC ratio, 100% RDF without sulphur recorded higher BC ratio (2.53) which was closely followed by 100% RDF with sulphur (2.49). The higher BC ratio was recorded in these treatments because of least cost incurred for the cultivation of castor. Similar results were also reported by Akbari et $al.^1$ and Babu *et al*³.

Interaction of genotypes, row spacing with nipping and different levels of nutrients

Bean yield was recorded significantly higher with a row spacing of 90 cm with nipping with the application of 100% RDF with sulphur (1783 kg ha⁻¹) (Table 3) this is because a plant population of 90 cm \times 60 cm increased the number of spikes per plant and number of capsules per plant as a result of increase in the growth parameters like plant height per plant which increased the bean yield as compared to

a row spacing of 120 cm. Treatments with periodical staggered nipping recorded significantly higher bean yield as against nonnipping. Nipping and maintaining only the primary spikes often produced higher yield as reported by Hanumantha Rao *et al*⁵. Whereas, Venkate Gowda et al.²⁴, Shivaramu and Krishna Murthy¹⁶ reported almost 200 per cent increase in castor yield through periodical staggered nipping and maintaining four to five spikes plant). Economic analysis is of paramount importance as it gives the clear picture about cost involved, income and their ratio which are of vital importance from farmer's point of view. Spacing of 90 cm x 60 cm and nipping with 100% RDF with sulphur accrued maximum net realization of ₹ 33,735 ha⁻¹). The increase in net profit was attributed to larger yield differences with minute variation in cost of production under different spacings with nipping Singh¹⁷ and Tank *et al.*²¹ also reported similar results. BC ratio was recorded higher with a row spacing of 90 cm and nipping with 100% RDF without sulphur (2.91) (Table 3) this increase in the BC ratio was due to lesser cost of cultivation incurred in this treatment.

GxS	- F		Plant he	ight (cm) a	t harvest			Number of	f branch	es at harvest							
Gxs	XF	F ₁	\mathbf{F}_2	F ₃	F_4	GxS	F ₁	\mathbf{F}_2	F ₃	\mathbf{F}_4	GxS	F ₁	F ₂	F ₃	F_4	GxS	
	S ₁	187.78	187.61	184.90	184.61	186.22	11.25	11.25	10.37	10.20	10.77	19.55	19.35	17.83	17.60	18.58	
G ₁	S ₂	195.66	195.22	193.35	193.24	194.37	15.90	15.81	15.03	14.98	15.43	24.34	24.30	23.00	23.00	23.66	
\mathbf{G}_1	S ₃	182.77	182.63	180.78	180.50	181.67	13.60	13.51	12.55	12.42	13.02	22.02	22.00	21.00	21.00	21.51	
	S4	191.33	191.16	189.12	189.03	190.16	17.50	17.50	16.71	16.63	17.08	27.60	27.56	26.05	25.88	26.77	
	S ₁	188.19	188.06	186.10	186.04	187.10	11.75	11.66	10.85	10.80	11.26	20.25	20.10	19.00	19.00	19.59	
G_2	S ₂	197.87	197.75	194.06	194.00	195.92	16.43	16.40	15.44	15.35	15.90	25.28	25.20	23.98	23.78	24.56	
G ₂	S ₃	184.00	183.77	181.80	181.54	182.78	14.28	14.11	13.00	13.00	13.60	22.60	22.35	21.89	21.68	22.13	
	S4	192.28	192.11	190.33	190.12	191.21	17.88	17.85	17.37	17.27	17.59	28.45	28.40	26.50	26.50	27.46	
F		189.98	189.79	187.55	187.38		14.82	14.76	13.91	13.83		23.76	23.66	22.41	22.30		
		G x F				G G			ĸF		G		G	x F		G	
G	1	189.38	189.15	187.04	186.84	188.10	14.56	14.52	13.67	13.56	14.07	23.38	23.30	21.97	21.87	22.63	
G	2	190.58	190.42	188.07	187.93	189.25	15.08	15.00	14.16	14.10	14.59	24.14	24.01	22.84	22.74	23.43	
			S 2	κF		S	S x F			S		S x F				S	
S		187.98	187.84	185.50	185.33	186.66	11.50	11.46	10.61	10.50	11.02	19.90	19.73	18.41	18.30	19.08	
S	2	196.77	196.48	193.70	193.62	195.14	16.16	16.11	15.23	15.16	15.67	24.81	24.75	23.49	23.39	24.11	
S	3	183.38	183.20	181.29	181.02	182.22	13.94	13.81	12.78	12.71	13.31	22.31	22.18	21.45	21.34	21.82	
S.	4	191.80	191.63	189.73	189.57	190.68	17.69	17.68	17.04	16.95	17.34	28.02	27.98	26.28	26.19	27.12	
Intera	ctions		S.Em.±		C.D. (0.	.05)	91	S.Em. ±		C.D. (0	.05)	5	S.Em. ±		C.D. (0	.05)	
Geno	type	0.14			0.85		0.06			0.36			0.10		0.62		
Spac	ring		0.18				0.07			0.20		0.10			0.30		
Nutr	ient	0.18			0.52		0.07			0.19		0.09			0.26		
G×	< S		0.32		NS			0.12		NS		0.20			NS		
G×			0.26		NS		0.10			NS		0.13			NS		
S×	F		0.36			NS		0.14		NS		0.19			NS		
G×S	$S \times F$		0.51 NS				0.19			NS		0.26			NS		
0 5	DCH-17	7		S 00	cm = 60 cm	m with spa	cing		E.	100% RDI	Z with cul	nhur					

 Table 1: Plant height, number of branches plant⁻¹ and number of leaves plant⁻¹ of castor genotypes as influenced by spacing with nipping practices and nutrition at harvest

G₁ - DCH-177 G₂ - DCH-519

 $S_1 = 90 \text{ cm x} + 60 \text{ cm with spicing}$ $F_2 = 100\% \text{ RDF without sulphur}$

 $S_3 - 120 \text{ cm x } 60 \text{ cm with nipping}$ $F_3 - 75\% \text{ RDF with sulphur}$

 $S_4-120\ cm\ x\ 60\ cm\ without\ nipping\ \ F_4-75\%\ RDF$ without\ sulphur

Int. J. Pure App. Biosci. 6 (1): 1259-1265 (2018)

ISSN: 2320 - 7051

Table 2: Total number of productive spikes plant⁻¹, number of capsules spike⁻¹ and bean yield of castor genotypes as influenced by spacing with ninning practices and nutrition

			genoty	pes as i	muen	ceu by	space	ng wiu	n mbf	ong pra	cuces a	ina nu	ITITIO	1		
GxS	- F	Total	number of	spikes per	plant		Numbe	ules spike ⁻¹	Bean yield (kg ha ⁻¹)							
GXS	хг	F ₁	F ₂	F ₃	F_4	GxS	\mathbf{F}_1	F ₂	F ₃	F_4	GxS	F ₁	\mathbf{F}_2	F ₃	F_4	GxS
	S ₁	6.64	6.49	5.73	5.55	6.10	38.17	37.58	35.21	34.70	36.41	1742	1727	1620	1600	1672
G1	S_2	10.21	10.05	9.29	9.09	9.66	28.17	27.73	25.50	25.00	26.60	1149	1134	1028	1016	1081
	S ₃	8.48	8.19	7.50	7.33	7.87	42.84	42.49	40.48	40.05	41.47	1458	1433	1294	1278	1366
	S_4	11.28	11.09	10.66	10.60	10.90	32.99	32.44	30.29	29.42	31.29	932	916	828	812	872
	S ₁	6.99	6.84	6.24	6.09	6.53	39.05	38.78	36.35	35.99	37.54	1823	1800	1656	1632	1728
G ₂	S_2	10.45	10.37	9.72	9.58	10.03	29.21	28.83	26.83	26.60	27.87	1217	1200	1098	1082	1149
G ₂	S ₃	8.87	8.80	7.89	7.74	8.32	44.30	43.73	41.63	41.28	42.73	1536	1517	1359	1333	1436
	S_4	11.74	11.63	10.98	10.85	11.29	34.42	33.95	31.66	31.44	32.87	988	969	889	867	928
F	F		9.33 9.18 8.		8.35		36.14	35.69	33.49	33.06		1356	1337	1221	1202	
			G	x F	G		G x F			G		G x F				G
G	1	9.16	8.96	8.30	8.14	8.63	35.54	35.06	32.87	32.29	33.94	1320	1302	1192	1176	1248
G	2	9.51	9.51 9.41 8		8.56	9.04	36.75	36.32	34.11	33.83	35.25	1391	1371	1250	1228	1311
		S x F				S		S	x F		S x F				S	
S	1	6.81	6.66	5.98	5.82	6.32	38.61	38.18	35.78	35.35	36.98	1783	1764	1638	1616	1700
S	2	10.33	10.21	9.50	9.33	9.84	28.69	28.28	26.16	25.80	27.23	1183	1167	1063	1049	1115
S	S_3		8.49	7.69	7.53	8.10	43.57	43.11	41.05	40.67	42.10	1497	1475	1326	1305	1401
S.	4	11.51	11.36	10.82	10.72	11.11	33.71	33.20	30.97	30.43	32.08	960	943	859	840	900
Intera	ctions	S.Em.±			C.D. (0.05)		S.Em. ±			C.D. (0	.05)	S.Em. ±			C.D. (0.05)	
Geno	type	0.03			0.19		0.05			0.29		6			32	
Spac	ring	0.08			0.25		0.32			0.98		7			20	
Nutr	ient	0.11			0.32		0.25			0.70		6			15	
G >	$\mathbf{G} \times \mathbf{S}$		0.12		NS		0.45			NS		12			NS	
-	$\mathbf{G} \times \mathbf{F}$		0.16		NS		0.35			NS		8			NS	
S×		0.22			NS		0.49			NS		11			30	
G×S	S×F		0.31		NS		0.69			NS		15			NS	
	G_1	- DCH-17	7		$S_1 - 9$	90 cm x 6	0 cm with	spacing		F1 -	- 100% RI	DF with s	sulphur			
										-			-			

 $G_2 - DCH-519$

 $S_2-90\ cm\ x\ 60\ cm\ without\ nipping$

 $S_3 - 120 \text{ cm x } 60 \text{ cm with nipping}$

 $S_4 - 120 \text{ cm x } 60 \text{ cm without nipping}$

 $F_2 - 100\%$ RDF without sulphur

F₃-75% RDF with sulphur

F₄ – 75% RDF without sulphur

Table 2: Gross returns, net returns and BC ratio of castor genotypes as influenced by spacing with

nipping practices and nutrition

F	-					Net returns (₹ ha ⁻¹)						BC ratio					
	\mathbf{F}_1	\mathbf{F}_2	F ₃	F ₄	GxS	F ₁	\mathbf{F}_2	F ₃	F ₄	GxS	F ₁	\mathbf{F}_2	F ₃	\mathbf{F}_4	GxS		
S_1	50501	50066	46964	46384	48479	32561	32501	29762	29557	31095	2.81	2.85	2.73	2.76	2.79		
S_2	33310	32860	29787	29439	31349	15970	15895	13185	13212	14566	1.92	1.94	1.79	1.81	1.87		
S_3	42267	41528	37513	37035	39586	24677	24313	20661	20558	22552	2.40	2.41	2.23	2.25	2.32		
S_4	27019	26555	24004	23540	25279	10029	9940	7752	7663	8846	1.59	1.60	1.48	1.48	1.54		
S_1	52849	52192	48007	47297	50086	34909	34627	30805	30470	32703	2.95	2.97	2.79	2.81	2.88		
S_2	35281	34788	31817	31367	33313	17941	17823	15215	15140	16530	2.03	2.05	1.92	1.93	1.98		
S ₃	44514	43963	39383	38629	41622	26924	26748	22531	22152	24589	2.53	2.55	2.34	2.34	2.44		
S_4	28628	28091	25772	25134	26906	11638	11476	9520	9257	10473	1.68	1.69	1.59	1.58	1.64		
	39296	38755	35406	34853		21831	21665	18679	18501		2.49	2.53	2.39	2.43			
G x F			x F		G	G x F			G		G x F						
G_1		37752	34567	34099	36173	20809	20662	17840	17747	19265	2.18	2.20	2.06	2.08	2.13		
G_2		39759	36245	35607	37982	22853	22669	19518	19255	21074	2.30	2.32	2.16	2.17	2.24		
		S	x F		S		S	x F		S		S	x F		S		
	51675	51129	47486	46841	49282	33735	33564	30284	30014	31899	2.88	2.91	2.76	2.78	2.83		
	34295	33824	30802	30403	32331	16955	16859	14200	14176	15548	1.98	1.99	1.86	1.87	1.93		
	43391	42746	38448	37832	40604	25801	25531	21596	21355	23571	2.47	2.48	2.28	2.30	2.38		
	27823	27323	24888	24337	26093	10833	10708	8636	8460	9659	1.64	1.64	1.53	1.53	1.59		
ions	S.Em.±			C.D. (0.05)		S.Em. ±			C.D. (0.	S.Em. ±			C.D. (0.05)				
ре	57			346		57			346		0.01			0.02			
ng	132			405		132			405		0.01			0.02			
nt	108			308		108			308		0.01			0.02			
5	203			NS		203			NS		0.01			NS			
?	153			NS		153			NS		0.01			NS			
7		217		617		217			617			0.01			0.04		
$\mathbf{G}\times\mathbf{S}\times\mathbf{F}$		307	07 NS			307			NS			0.02			NS		
	S4 S1 S2 S3 S4 S4 S4 S4 S4 S4 S4 S4 S4 S4 S4 S1 S4 S1 S2 S3 S4 S4 S1 S2 S3 S4 S1 S2 S3 S4 S1 S2 S3 S4 S1 S2 S3 S3 S4 S1 S2 S3 S3 S4 S4 S1 S4 S4 S4 S4 S4 S4 S4 S4 S4 S4 S4 S4 S4	S4 27019 S1 52849 S2 35281 S3 44514 S4 28628 39296 38274 40318	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	S4 27019 26555 24004 S1 52849 52192 48007 S2 35281 34788 31817 S3 44514 43963 39383 S4 28628 28091 25772 39296 38755 35406 G x F 38274 37752 34567 40318 39759 36245 S x F 51675 51129 47486 34295 33824 30802 43391 42746 38448 27823 27323 24888 pe 57 g 132 1 108 1 203 132 132 1 132 132 132 132 132 132 132 132 132 132	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Sq. 27019 26555 24004 23540 25279 10029 9940 S1 52849 52192 48007 47297 50086 34909 34627 S2 35281 34788 31817 31367 33313 17941 17823 S3 44514 43963 39383 38629 41622 26924 26748 S4 28628 28091 25772 25134 26906 11638 11476 39296 38755 35406 34853 21831 21665 G G X F G G G 38274 37752 34567 34099 36173 20809 20662 40318 39759 36245 35607 37982 22853 22669 S x F S S S S S 51675 51129 47486 46841 49282 33735 33564 34295 33824 30802 <th3< td=""><td>Sq. 27019 26555 24004 23540 25279 10029 9940 7752 S1 52849 52192 48007 47297 50086 34909 34627 30805 S2 35281 34788 31817 31367 33313 17941 17823 15215 S3 44514 43963 39383 38629 41622 26924 26748 22531 S4 28628 28091 25772 25134 26906 11638 11476 9520 39296 38755 35406 34853 21831 21665 18679 C G × F G G × F G G × F G 17410 38274 37752 34567 34099 36173 20809 20662 17840 40318 39759 36245 35607 37982 22853 22669 19518 V S × F S S × F S S × F 51675 51129 47486 46841 49282 33735 33564 <th< td=""><td>S4 27019 26555 24004 23540 25279 10029 9940 7752 7663 S1 52849 52192 48007 47297 50086 34909 34627 30805 30470 S2 35281 34788 31817 31367 33313 17941 17823 15215 15140 S3 44514 43963 39383 38629 41622 26924 26748 22531 22152 S4 28628 28091 25772 25134 26906 11638 11476 9520 9257 39296 38755 35406 34853 21831 21665 18679 18501 C G x F G G x F G 9206 1774 1774 40318 39759 36245 35607 3792 22853 22669 19518 19255 S x F S S x F S S x F S 21351 21596 21355 38274 3752 3464 46841 49282 33735 33</td><td>Signal 27019 26555 24004 23540 25279 10029 9940 7752 7663 8846 Signal 52849 52192 48007 47297 50086 34909 34627 30805 30470 32703 Signal 34788 31817 31367 33313 17941 17823 15215 15140 16530 Signal 44514 43963 39383 38629 41622 26924 26748 22531 22152 24589 Signal 28628 28091 25772 25134 26906 11638 11476 9520 9257 10473 39296 38755 35406 34853 21831 2165 18601 17747 19265 38274 37752 34567 34099 36173 20809 20662 17840 17747 19265 38274 37752 34567 35007 37982 22853 22669 19518 19255 21074 40318 39793 36245 35607 37982 22853</td><td>Single 27019 26555 24004 23540 25279 10029 9940 7752 7663 8846 1.59 Single 52849 52192 48007 47297 50086 34909 34627 30805 30470 32703 2.95 Single 35281 34788 31817 31367 33313 17941 17823 15215 15140 16530 2.03 Single 35281 34788 31817 31367 33313 17941 17823 15215 15140 16530 2.03 Single 28628 28091 25772 25134 26906 11638 11476 9520 9257 10473 1.68 39296 38755 35406 34853 C 21831 21655 18679 18501 2.49 C G G G S S S S S S S S S 38274 37752 34567 34099 36173 20809 20662 17840 17747 19265</td><td>S4 27019 2655 24004 23540 25279 10029 9940 7752 7663 8846 1.59 1.60 S1 5284 52192 48007 47297 50086 34009 34627 30805 30470 32703 2.95 2.97 S2 35281 34788 31817 31367 33313 17941 17823 15215 15140 16530 2.03 2.05 S3 44514 43963 39383 38629 41622 26924 26748 22531 22152 24589 2.53 2.55 S4 28628 28091 25772 25134 26906 11638 11476 9520 9257 10473 1.68 1.69 39296 38755 35406 34853 21831 21665 18679 18501 2.49 2.53 38274 37752 34567 34099 36173 20809 20662 17840 17747 19265 2.18 2.20 40318 39759 36245 35607 37982<</td><td>S4 27019 26555 24004 23540 25279 10029 9940 7752 7663 8846 1.59 1.48 S1 52849 52192 48007 47297 50086 34909 34627 30805 30470 32703 2.95 2.97 2.79 S2 35281 34788 31817 31367 33313 17941 17823 15215 15140 16503 2.03 2.05 1.48 S4 28628 28091 25772 25134 26906 11638 11476 9520 9257 10473 1.68 1.69 1.59 39296 38755 35406 34853 21831 21655 18679 18501 2.49 2.53 2.32 2.32 2.33 2.33 2.39 C G C G C G C</td><td>S4 27019 2655 24004 23540 25279 10029 9940 7752 7663 8846 1.59 1.60 1.48 1.48 S1 52849 52192 48007 47297 50086 34909 34627 30805 30470 32703 2.95 2.97 2.79 2.81 S2 35281 34788 31817 31367 33313 17941 17823 15215 15140 1630 2.03 2.05 1.92 1.93 S3 44514 43963 39383 38629 41622 26924 26748 2231 22152 2458 2.53 2.55 2.34 2.34 S4 28628 28091 25772 25134 26906 11638 11476 9520 9257 10473 1.68 1.69 1.59 1.58 39296 38754 3752 34567 34099 36173 20809 20662 17870 17477 19265 2.18 2.20 2.06 2.076 2.78 38274 37572</td></th<></td></th3<>	Sq. 27019 26555 24004 23540 25279 10029 9940 7752 S1 52849 52192 48007 47297 50086 34909 34627 30805 S2 35281 34788 31817 31367 33313 17941 17823 15215 S3 44514 43963 39383 38629 41622 26924 26748 22531 S4 28628 28091 25772 25134 26906 11638 11476 9520 39296 38755 35406 34853 21831 21665 18679 C G × F G G × F G G × F G 17410 38274 37752 34567 34099 36173 20809 20662 17840 40318 39759 36245 35607 37982 22853 22669 19518 V S × F S S × F S S × F 51675 51129 47486 46841 49282 33735 33564 <th< td=""><td>S4 27019 26555 24004 23540 25279 10029 9940 7752 7663 S1 52849 52192 48007 47297 50086 34909 34627 30805 30470 S2 35281 34788 31817 31367 33313 17941 17823 15215 15140 S3 44514 43963 39383 38629 41622 26924 26748 22531 22152 S4 28628 28091 25772 25134 26906 11638 11476 9520 9257 39296 38755 35406 34853 21831 21665 18679 18501 C G x F G G x F G 9206 1774 1774 40318 39759 36245 35607 3792 22853 22669 19518 19255 S x F S S x F S S x F S 21351 21596 21355 38274 3752 3464 46841 49282 33735 33</td><td>Signal 27019 26555 24004 23540 25279 10029 9940 7752 7663 8846 Signal 52849 52192 48007 47297 50086 34909 34627 30805 30470 32703 Signal 34788 31817 31367 33313 17941 17823 15215 15140 16530 Signal 44514 43963 39383 38629 41622 26924 26748 22531 22152 24589 Signal 28628 28091 25772 25134 26906 11638 11476 9520 9257 10473 39296 38755 35406 34853 21831 2165 18601 17747 19265 38274 37752 34567 34099 36173 20809 20662 17840 17747 19265 38274 37752 34567 35007 37982 22853 22669 19518 19255 21074 40318 39793 36245 35607 37982 22853</td><td>Single 27019 26555 24004 23540 25279 10029 9940 7752 7663 8846 1.59 Single 52849 52192 48007 47297 50086 34909 34627 30805 30470 32703 2.95 Single 35281 34788 31817 31367 33313 17941 17823 15215 15140 16530 2.03 Single 35281 34788 31817 31367 33313 17941 17823 15215 15140 16530 2.03 Single 28628 28091 25772 25134 26906 11638 11476 9520 9257 10473 1.68 39296 38755 35406 34853 C 21831 21655 18679 18501 2.49 C G G G S S S S S S S S S 38274 37752 34567 34099 36173 20809 20662 17840 17747 19265</td><td>S4 27019 2655 24004 23540 25279 10029 9940 7752 7663 8846 1.59 1.60 S1 5284 52192 48007 47297 50086 34009 34627 30805 30470 32703 2.95 2.97 S2 35281 34788 31817 31367 33313 17941 17823 15215 15140 16530 2.03 2.05 S3 44514 43963 39383 38629 41622 26924 26748 22531 22152 24589 2.53 2.55 S4 28628 28091 25772 25134 26906 11638 11476 9520 9257 10473 1.68 1.69 39296 38755 35406 34853 21831 21665 18679 18501 2.49 2.53 38274 37752 34567 34099 36173 20809 20662 17840 17747 19265 2.18 2.20 40318 39759 36245 35607 37982<</td><td>S4 27019 26555 24004 23540 25279 10029 9940 7752 7663 8846 1.59 1.48 S1 52849 52192 48007 47297 50086 34909 34627 30805 30470 32703 2.95 2.97 2.79 S2 35281 34788 31817 31367 33313 17941 17823 15215 15140 16503 2.03 2.05 1.48 S4 28628 28091 25772 25134 26906 11638 11476 9520 9257 10473 1.68 1.69 1.59 39296 38755 35406 34853 21831 21655 18679 18501 2.49 2.53 2.32 2.32 2.33 2.33 2.39 C G C G C G C</td><td>S4 27019 2655 24004 23540 25279 10029 9940 7752 7663 8846 1.59 1.60 1.48 1.48 S1 52849 52192 48007 47297 50086 34909 34627 30805 30470 32703 2.95 2.97 2.79 2.81 S2 35281 34788 31817 31367 33313 17941 17823 15215 15140 1630 2.03 2.05 1.92 1.93 S3 44514 43963 39383 38629 41622 26924 26748 2231 22152 2458 2.53 2.55 2.34 2.34 S4 28628 28091 25772 25134 26906 11638 11476 9520 9257 10473 1.68 1.69 1.59 1.58 39296 38754 3752 34567 34099 36173 20809 20662 17870 17477 19265 2.18 2.20 2.06 2.076 2.78 38274 37572</td></th<>	S4 27019 26555 24004 23540 25279 10029 9940 7752 7663 S1 52849 52192 48007 47297 50086 34909 34627 30805 30470 S2 35281 34788 31817 31367 33313 17941 17823 15215 15140 S3 44514 43963 39383 38629 41622 26924 26748 22531 22152 S4 28628 28091 25772 25134 26906 11638 11476 9520 9257 39296 38755 35406 34853 21831 21665 18679 18501 C G x F G G x F G 9206 1774 1774 40318 39759 36245 35607 3792 22853 22669 19518 19255 S x F S S x F S S x F S 21351 21596 21355 38274 3752 3464 46841 49282 33735 33	Signal 27019 26555 24004 23540 25279 10029 9940 7752 7663 8846 Signal 52849 52192 48007 47297 50086 34909 34627 30805 30470 32703 Signal 34788 31817 31367 33313 17941 17823 15215 15140 16530 Signal 44514 43963 39383 38629 41622 26924 26748 22531 22152 24589 Signal 28628 28091 25772 25134 26906 11638 11476 9520 9257 10473 39296 38755 35406 34853 21831 2165 18601 17747 19265 38274 37752 34567 34099 36173 20809 20662 17840 17747 19265 38274 37752 34567 35007 37982 22853 22669 19518 19255 21074 40318 39793 36245 35607 37982 22853	Single 27019 26555 24004 23540 25279 10029 9940 7752 7663 8846 1.59 Single 52849 52192 48007 47297 50086 34909 34627 30805 30470 32703 2.95 Single 35281 34788 31817 31367 33313 17941 17823 15215 15140 16530 2.03 Single 35281 34788 31817 31367 33313 17941 17823 15215 15140 16530 2.03 Single 28628 28091 25772 25134 26906 11638 11476 9520 9257 10473 1.68 39296 38755 35406 34853 C 21831 21655 18679 18501 2.49 C G G G S S S S S S S S S 38274 37752 34567 34099 36173 20809 20662 17840 17747 19265	S4 27019 2655 24004 23540 25279 10029 9940 7752 7663 8846 1.59 1.60 S1 5284 52192 48007 47297 50086 34009 34627 30805 30470 32703 2.95 2.97 S2 35281 34788 31817 31367 33313 17941 17823 15215 15140 16530 2.03 2.05 S3 44514 43963 39383 38629 41622 26924 26748 22531 22152 24589 2.53 2.55 S4 28628 28091 25772 25134 26906 11638 11476 9520 9257 10473 1.68 1.69 39296 38755 35406 34853 21831 21665 18679 18501 2.49 2.53 38274 37752 34567 34099 36173 20809 20662 17840 17747 19265 2.18 2.20 40318 39759 36245 35607 37982<	S4 27019 26555 24004 23540 25279 10029 9940 7752 7663 8846 1.59 1.48 S1 52849 52192 48007 47297 50086 34909 34627 30805 30470 32703 2.95 2.97 2.79 S2 35281 34788 31817 31367 33313 17941 17823 15215 15140 16503 2.03 2.05 1.48 S4 28628 28091 25772 25134 26906 11638 11476 9520 9257 10473 1.68 1.69 1.59 39296 38755 35406 34853 21831 21655 18679 18501 2.49 2.53 2.32 2.32 2.33 2.33 2.39 C G C G C G C	S4 27019 2655 24004 23540 25279 10029 9940 7752 7663 8846 1.59 1.60 1.48 1.48 S1 52849 52192 48007 47297 50086 34909 34627 30805 30470 32703 2.95 2.97 2.79 2.81 S2 35281 34788 31817 31367 33313 17941 17823 15215 15140 1630 2.03 2.05 1.92 1.93 S3 44514 43963 39383 38629 41622 26924 26748 2231 22152 2458 2.53 2.55 2.34 2.34 S4 28628 28091 25772 25134 26906 11638 11476 9520 9257 10473 1.68 1.69 1.59 1.58 39296 38754 3752 34567 34099 36173 20809 20662 17870 17477 19265 2.18 2.20 2.06 2.076 2.78 38274 37572		

 $G_1 - DCH-177$ $G_2 - DCH-519$ $S_{\rm 1}-90\ cm\ x\ 60\ cm$ with spacing

 $S_2-90\ cm\ x\ 60\ cm\ without\ nipping$

 $S_3 - 120 \text{ cm x } 60 \text{ cm with nipping}$ $S_4 - 120 \text{ cm x } 60 \text{ cm without nipping}$

REFERENCES

1. Akbari, K. N., Sutaria, G. S., Kandoria, H.

K., Vora, V. D. and Padmani, D. R., Copyright © Jan.-Feb., 2018; IJPAB

 $F_{\rm l}-100\%\,\,RDF$ with sulphu

 $F_2 - 100\%$ RDF without sulphur

F₃-75% RDF with sulphur

F₄ – 75% RDF without sulphur

Influence of nitrogen and phosphorus on yield of castor (Ricinus communis L.) on sandy clay loam soils under rainfed 1263

conditions. *Asian J. Soil Sci.*, **5(1):** 46-48 (2010).

- 2. Anonymous, (2016).
- Babu, P. V. R., Rao, C. P. and Veeraraghavaiah, R., Growth, yield and economics of rice fallow castor under different levels of N and P applied to preceding *kharif* rice and different fertilizer schedules given to succeeding castor crop. *Indian J. Agric. Res..*, 48(3): 217-221 (2014).
- Govindan, R., Sannappa, B., Bharathi, V. P., Gowda, M. B., Singh, M. P., Hegde, D. M., Performance of castor genotypes for growth and yield under rainfed condition. *Indian J. Environ Ecoplan.* 6: 519-522 (2002).
- Hanumantha Rao, C., Hamilton, R. L. and Bhaskar Rao, U. M., Note on the effect of nipping spikes of different sequential orders on the yield of Aruna castor. *Indian J. Agric. Sci.*, 51(2): 531-532 (1981).
- Hussaini M. A., Ogunlela, V. B., Ramalan, A. A., Falaki, A. M. and Lawa, A. B., Productivity and water use in maize (*Zea mays* L.) as influenced by nitrogen, phosphorus and irrigation levels. *Crop Res.*, 23(2): 228-234 (2002).
- Lakshmi, Y. S. and Reddy, A. S., Effect of plant densities on growth and yield of castor varieties. *Crop Res.*, **32(1)**: 32-35 (2006).
- Mathukia, R. K. and Modhwadia, M. M., Response of castor (*Ricinus communis* L.) to nitrogen and phosphorus. *Indian J. Agron.*, 38(1): 152-153 (1993).
- Mathukia, R. K., Shekh, M. A. and Sagarka, B. K., Irrigation and integrated nutrient management in castor (*Ricinus communis* L.). *Innovare J. Agric. Sci.*, 2(2):

3-4 (2014).

- Narkhede, B. N., Patil, A. B. and Deokar, A., Varieties response to plant densities and nitrogen application in castor. *J. Oilseeds Res.*, **12(1)**: 109-114 (1984).
- 11. Patel, R. A., Patel, J. J. and Patel, A. S., Effect of spacing, drip irrigation and nitrogen levels on oil content, N content

and uptake of late rainy season castor (*Ricinus communis* L.). *J. Oilseeds Res.*, **27(2):** 144-146 (2010).

- Raghavaiah, C. V. and Sudhakara Babu, S. N., Influence of sowing date, plant density and N nutrition on the foundation seed production of female parent (vp-1) of GCH-4 castor hybrid (*Ricinus communis* L.). J. Oilseeds Res., 17(2): 320-327 (2000).
- Rana, D. S., Giri, G. and PachaurI, D. K., Evaluation of castor (*Ricinus communis* L.) genotypes for productivity, economics, litter fall and changes in soil properties under different levels of inter-row spacing and nitrogen. *Indian J. Agron.*, **51(4)**: 318-322 (2006).
- Sannappa, B., Manjunath, D., Manjunath, K. G. and Prakash, B. K., Evaluation of castor hybrids/ varieties employing growth and yield characters for exploitation in seed production and Eri silkworm rearing. *Int. J. Applied Res.*, 2(2): 135-140 (2016).
- Shifa, K., Studies on the performance of eri silkworm (Lepidoptera:Saturniidae) fed on different genotypes of castor (*Ricinus communis* L.). *M.Sc. Thesis, Addis Ababa University*, **79:** (2011).
- Shivaramu, H. S. and Krishna Murthy, D., Staggered nipping in castor planted with different dates of sowing under dryland conditions. *Mysore J. Agric, Sci.*, 42(4): 776-779 (2008).
- Singh, M., Studies on effect of spacing in castor (*Ricinus communis* L.). Ann. Arid Zone, 42(1): 89-91 (2003).
- Sudha Rani, C., Crop growth and development of castor cultivars under optimal and sub-optimal water and nitrogen in Telangana region. *Ph.D. Thesis*, Acharya N.G. Ranga, Agricultural University, Hyderabad (2001).
- Sundaresan, N., Palanisamy, S., Doreraj, M. S. and Navakoti, K., Effect of spacing on yield and yield component of castor. *Madras Agric. J.*, 64(10): 631-633 (1977).
- Suryavanshi, V. P., Chavan, B. N., Jadhav, K. T. and Pagar, P. A., Effect of spacing, nitrogen and phosphorus levels on growth,

yield and economics of *kharif* maize. *Int. J. Tropical Agri.*, **26(3-4):** 287-291 (2008).

- Tank, D. A., Delvadia, D. R., Gediya, K. M., Shukla, Y. M. and Patel, M. V., Effect of different spacing and N levels on seed yield and quality of hybrid castor (*Ricinus communis* L.). *Res. on Crops*, 8(2): 335-338 (2007).
- Thadoda, N. K, Sukhadia, N. M., Malavia, D. D. and Moradia, A. M., Response of castor (*Ricinus communis* L.) GCH 4 to planting geometry and nitrogen fertilization under rainfed condition. *Gujarat Agril. Univ. Res. J.*, 21(2): 85-87 (1996).
- 23. Vala, G. M., Khanpara, V. D., Kaneria, B.
 B. and Mathukia, R. K., Performance of castor (*Ricinus communis* L.) genotypes under various sowing times and row spacings in summer season. *GAU Res. J.*, 26(1): 12-15 (2000).
- 24. Venkate Gowda, J., Shivaramu, H. S., Krishna Murthy, N., Ravi Kumar, H. S. and Manjunatha, B. N., Effect of nipping and dates of sowing on growth, yield and disease infestation of castor genotypes. *Inter. J. Forestry and Crop Improvement*, 2(1): 73-77 (2011).